

Al for social robots

Robots and humans understanding each other

Raymond H. Cuijpers





- As robots interact ever more closely with people, they also need to "understand" them better
- However, psychological knowledge mostly qualitative
 - Quantitative models needed to control
- Conventional AI mostly concerned with searching/inferring true statements using symbolic representions
 - Not suited for real world complexity with partial and inaccurate information





- Modern hybrid approaches have huge potential
 - Distributed knowledge representations
 - Real-time, dynamic, subsymbolic
 - Integration Bayesian probabilistic framework with machine learning and pattern recognition
 - Bayesian methods work well in psychology because of easy integration of prior knowledge with knowledge from observations
 - Online learning (batch learning infeasible and too slow)
 - Biologically inspired?
 - Still huge discrepancy between biological and artificial intelligence
 - May show the way





Person Localisation







- Approaching a person to deliver a message
 - Detecting a person computer vision, feature extraction, convolutional NN, Bayesian sensor fusion/cue integration
 - Tracking a person tracking-learning detection (TLC), ...
 - Where to interact particle filters, 2D neural gas, probabilistic model of personal space/proxemics
 - When to interact Bayesian decision making, stochastic processes, Dynamic neural fields, POMDPS ...
 - What to do/say HMM, Bayesian inferences, policy learning, ...
 - *How* to behave Social conventions? Emotional expressiveness?





- People need to understand robots
 - Mental models and predicting robot behavior
 - Robots providing social cues
- Robots need to understand people
 - Understanding personal space
 - Understanding turn-taking







Nomura, T., Kanda, T., Kidokoro, H., Suehiro, Y., & Yamada, S. (2017). Why do children abuse robots?. Interaction Studies, 17(3), 347-369.





PEOPLE NEED TO UNDERSTAND ROBOTS





Social cues

- Human observer always try to interpret observed behaviours
 - "Doing nothing" also has meaning
- According to Reeves and Nass (1996) people automatically interact in a social way with artificial agents (computers):

Mental model

- 'Theory of Mind' common sense model of human behavior which allows us to automatically attribute beliefs, goals and mental states to our human co-actors (Premack & Woodruff, 1978; Scassellati, 2002).
- 'Simulation Theory',- observer uses own action system to predict the mental processes and actions of others (Goldman, 1992).





People need to understand robots

- When working with devices we construct accurate mental models
 - Movement of mouse \leftrightarrow movement of mouse cursor
 - Try holding the mouse upside down!
 - Steering a bicycle \leftrightarrow movement of bike
- It allows us to accurately predict behaviours, when our 'mental model' is correct
- Mental models are automatic and subconscious



- When we do not 'have' accurate mental models, we substitute:
 - Human driven car \rightarrow autonomous car
 - Human \rightarrow hu
- humanoid robot





 A few simple human-like elements in the design could already make a person attribute a variety of human-like characteristics to technology









People need to understand robots

If robots look like humans, but do not behave like humans, they become



Uncanny valley (Masahiri Mori)









- We posses many mental models that allow us to predict and interpret behavior
- People anthropomorphize vehicles/robots
 - It makes the robot's behavior predictable
- Robots can take this to their advantage
 - Only a few social cues are sufficient
- However, the mental model can be wrong
 - Robot provides no social cues → unpredictable, cautious response
 - Robot provides wrong social cues → wrong predictions, uncanny!





ROBOTS NEED TO UNDERSTAND PEOPLE





- In many applications robots and autonomous vehicles need to adapt to human behavior
 - Autonomous car needs to detect whether pedestrians intend to cross the street
 - Robot assesses risk of abuse



- Robots need a mental model in order to predict human behavior
 - Personal space model
 - Turn-taking cues





 Conventionally, personal space is a region surrounding a person



- However, optimal location depends on the environment and activity
 - Behavior based navigation towards human target
 - Dynamic updating using perception of the environment





 Measure stopping distance and determine shape of personal space











Understanding Turn-taking

 Robotic systems deployable for information exchange services



Museum tour-guide Lecturer



Receptionist



Karreman et al. 2013 Huang & Mutlu, 2013 Michalowski, 2006





- Eye contact is important for regulating verbal communication
- In order for a robot to make eye contact it must
 - Look at the human observer
 - Monitor the gaze direction of the human looker
- During a conversation
 - Listener looks at speaker
 - Speaker looks at audience (but not always)
- Good turn-yield: speaker stops and looks at person receiving the turn
- Good turn-take: listener waits for silence and gaze cue





Understanding Turn-taking

- When the robot understands turn yielding cues,
- And its timing of turn yielding cues is correct
- Interaction with robot is very natural and fluent







Understanding Turn-taking



 However, when the timing is wrong





- People have mental models of machines
 - based on experience or expectations(!)
 - Provides opportunity for robots
- Robots need mental models of people
 - Improves predictability and quality of interaction
- When there is a mismatch (either way)
 - Interaction is inefficient and user acceptance is poor





Future perspectives

- Robots for educational support
- Robots for health care support
- Robots in public places
- Al under the hood
 - Robots are able to detect more and more social cues
 - Robots can adapt to the human context
 - Human-robot collaboration with multiple persons







Robot companion: still a dream?



Thank you for your attention!



