## Assessment Form Bachelor End Project (2nd Assessor)

Student name:
Title project:
Data final presentation:
Name TU/e supervisor:
Name 2nd assessor:

		FAIL	POOR	SUFFICIENT	SATISFACTORY	GOOD	EXCEPTIONAL	C	
		1 - 4	5	6	7	8	9 - 10	Grade	Weight
A. Quality problem	identification and theoretical framework	0	0	0	0	0	0		25%
Research	Description research problem (clear, concise)								
	Connection between problem, research question(s) and aim								
	Substantiation of relevance (scientific, societal)								
	Definition scope and boundaries							l	
	Reformulation research question/aim in theoretical terms								
	Definition main theoretical concepts and their relations (clear)								
	Combination bodies of relevant literature (multiple perspectives)						0		
B. Quality research strategy									15%
Scientific	Research approach (adequate, thorougly considered)								
approach	Justification choices based on problem statement and literature								
	Originality and/or complexity research approach								
	Methodological steps (listed, explained)								
C. Quality of resea	rch execution								35%
	Quantity of the data collection (size, diversity data set)								
and data	Quality of the data (validity, reliability)								
management	Acquistion and processing data (adequate, transparant, justified)							l	
	Analysis of the data (correct, thorough)								
	Results follow logically from analysis								
	Presentation results (clear, factual, organized, with interpretations)								
	Tables and figures integrated in the line of argumentation								
D. Quality of the co	onclusion and discussion								25%
Conclusions and	Formulation conclusions (exact, concise, grouped logically)								
implications	Conclusions based on analysis and linked to research question(s)	1							
	Substantiation by results and relevant literature	1					1	]	
	Formulation implications based on results							1	
Critical reflection	Identification strenghts and weaknesses	1							
on the research	Weighing impact on the main results and relative to each other	1						1	
performed	Identification (better) alternatives for research methods	1						1	
periornieu	Suggestions for future research	1							
Grade Research Part									

		support,	SUFFICIENT With feedback and support, good enough result	proactive
A. Writing and in	ormation skills			
Quality of the research report	Quality of the message (line of reasoning, supportive evidence) Structure (clear organization subelements, connection, level of detail) Readability (caademic writing style, balance text and graphs/tables) (English) language (grammar, vocabulary, textual mechanics) Referencing (correct, consistent, complete in text and list)			

NOTE ON THE ASSESSMENT OF THE PROFESSIONAL SKILLS

\*When the student receives an '<u>exceptional</u>' mark for one or more professional skills, the assessors together <u>can</u> <u>decide</u> to give the student a bonus of 0.5. This bonus comes on top of the grade for the research part.

\*The student can only pass for the Bachelor End Project when <u>all elements</u> (research and professional skills) are assessed <u>sufficient</u> or higher. No compensation between elements.

Additional comments concerning Research and/or Professional Skills (obligatory):

Professional Skills Bonus?	+ 0,5
Final Grade BEP	

Name 2nd Assessor:

Sign: