

Master's Project Assessment Form

Department of Computer Science (TU Eindhoven)

Student		ID number	
Master's program		Course code	
Graduation supervisor			
Other committee members			

1. Assessment of the various aspects of the master's project

		assessment				
		U	S	G	VG	E
Results	quality of the results					
	quantity of the results					
	complexity of the problem					
	<i>Explanation:</i>					
Report	structure					
	discussion of related work and context					
	clarity of presentation and correctness of arguments					
	English usage					
	general appearance (layout, figures and tables, etcetera)					
	<i>Explanation:</i>					

		assessment				
		U	S	G	VG	E
Presentation	structure and contents					
	quality of the slides					
	presentation skills					
	suitability for the audience					
	<i>Explanation:</i>					
Defense	ability to cope with technical questions					
	ability to cope with questions about context					
	<i>Explanation:</i>					
Execution	independence in execution of the project					
	independence in writing the report					
	planning and meeting deadlines					
	communication					
	<i>Explanation:</i>					

2. Confidentiality

The graduation supervisor declares that the final thesis is:

- Public
 Confidential for 1 year
 Confidential forever

Note: a public version of the thesis is required for publication

3. Project counts as international experience?

- Yes
 No

4. Overall assessment of the master's project

		final grade
<i>Explanation:</i>		
<i>Signature of graduation supervisor, date</i>		

Explanation of Assessment Form

Graduation projects are judged on five criteria: results, report, presentation, defense, and execution. For each of these criteria there are several subcriteria, which are scored on the following scale:

U = unsatisfactory

S = sufficient

G = good

VG = very good

E = excellent

Note: Students are expected to be able to do good work when they reach the phase of doing a master's thesis project, and the score "good" thus represents what can be expected from a normal student; it does not imply above-average results.

Please fill out the form completely, and also write a brief explanation for each of the five criteria. This explanation should state the main strong points and/or the points that can be improved; it need not discuss all subcriteria.

The scores and the overall performance with respect to each of the criteria together determine the final grade for the graduation project. There is no fixed scheme for this, but the following serves as a guideline for arriving at the final grade. Note that grades need not be integers, halves are also allowed. Also note that a passing grade is 6.0 or higher.

Grade	Typical scores and evaluation
5	The work is unsatisfactory on aspects concerning results (in particular on quality or quantity of results) or report (in particular on structure, or clarity and correctness), or on many aspects overall. The grade 5 is seldom given, as students with unsatisfactory results or reports should not be admitted to the defense.
6	The work scores satisfactory (and not more) on aspects concerning results and concerning the report, and typically also on independence in execution. The remaining scores are good at best.
7	There may be some scores that are only satisfactory, but most scores are good.
8	The work is very good with respect to several criteria and good with respect to the remaining ones. Typically, a solid piece of work with interesting although perhaps not very surprising results, achieved with a reasonable level of independence.
9	The work is excellent with respect to several criteria and very good with respect to the remaining criteria. The thesis presents an innovative solution to a complex problem, obtained with a high level of independence. For research-oriented projects, the work can lead to a publication in a good conference or journal; for design-oriented projects the work can be directly, or with relatively little effort, be applied in an industrial context and/or a concrete product (e.g., integrated into a large software system).
10	The work is excellent with respect to all five criteria and the work is clearly outstanding with respect to quantity or quality. For research-oriented projects, the work can lead to two publications in good conferences or one publication in a top conference or journal.

Course codes

2IMC00	BIS, CSE, ES, IST (supervisor from the Computer Science department)
2MMR30	IST (supervisor from the Mathematics department)
1BM91	BIS (supervisor from the Industrial Engineering & Innovation Sciences)
5T746	ES (supervisor from the Electrical Engineering department)
2IW91	AT (supervisor from the Computer Science department)

Declaration concerning the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct for the Master's/PDEng/PhD thesis

I have read the TU/e Code of Scientific Conductⁱ.

I hereby declare that my Master's /PDEng/PhD-thesis has been carried out in accordance with the rules of the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct

Date

.. ..

Name

.. ..

Signature

.. ..

ⁱ See: <http://www.tue.nl/en/university/about-the-university/integrity/scientific-integrity/>

The Netherlands Code of Conduct for Academic Practice of the VSNU can be found here also.

More information about scientific integrity is published on the websites of TU/e and VSNU