

Master Project Assessment Form - version July 20, 2021

Department of Computer Science, Eindhoven University of Technology

Student		ID	
Title			
Master Program			
Course code*		EC	30 45
Supervisor		Group	
Other committee members		Group	

Report Checklist

- | | | |
|----|-----|--|
| No | Yes | Are (minor) changes foreseen in the report that do not affect the assessment? If yes, these changes must be checked by the graduation supervisor. |
| No | Yes | The report is public (Note: Confidentiality had to be indicated on the graduation form). |
| No | Yes | Fraud and authenticity check on report has been conducted by the supervisor(s) by means of Ouriginal. In case of confidentiality of the report, the fraud and authenticity check is carried out by the supervisor(s). The supervisor is responsible for ensuring the authenticity of the thesis. |

Assessment of the various aspects of the masters project

		assessment				
		U	S	G	VG	E
Results	quality of the results					
	quantity of the results					
	complexity of the problem					
	Explanation:					
Report	structure					
	clarity of the research question formulation					
	discussion of related work and context					
	clarity of presentation and correctness of arguments					
	English usage					
	general appearance (layout, figures and tables, etcetera)					
	Explanation:					

*** Course codes (30 EC)**

2IMC00	BIS, CSE, DSiE, ES, IST	(supervisor from CS)	2MMR30	DSiE, IST	(supervisor from Math)
5T746	ES	(supervisor from EE)	2IW91	AT	(supervisor from CS)
1BM91	BIS	(supervisor from IE & IS)			

Course codes (45 EC): Double degree only

2IMC45	BIS, CSE, DSiE, ES, IST	(supervisor from CS)	2MMR45	DSiE, IST	(supervisor from Math)
--------	-------------------------	----------------------	--------	-----------	------------------------

		assessment				
		U	S	G	VG	E
Presentation	structure and contents					
	quality of the slides					
	presentation skills					
	suitability for audience					
	Explanation:					
Defense	ability to cope with technical questions					
	ability to cope with questions about context					
	Explanation:					
Execution	independence in execution of the project					
	independence in writing the report					
	planning and meeting deadlines					
	communication					
	Explanation:					

International Experience

No Yes The project should be considered as providing international experience if the part conducted outside the Netherlands is tantamount to at least 15 EC.

Overall assessment

Explanation		Grade
Date		
Signature of supervisor		

Employment

To understand how well our educational programs prepare the graduates for their professional careers, we would like to collect information about the first employment after graduation. If known, please indicate in the field below the job (e.g., software engineer or a PhD student) and the employing organization.

The supervisor submits this form *electronically*, the final version of the report and the declaration concerning the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct below to the student administration: csa.mcs@tue.nl

Explanation of Assessment Form

Graduation projects are judged on five criteria: results, report, presentation, defense, and execution. For each of these criteria there are several subcriteria, which are scored on the following scale:

U	=	unsatisfactory	VG	=	very good
S	=	sufficient	E	=	excellent
G	=	good			

Note: Students are expected to be able to do good work when they reach the phase of doing a master thesis project, and the score “good” thus represents what can be expected from a normal student; it does not imply above-average results.

Please fill out the form completely, and also write a brief explanation for each of the five criteria. This explanation should state the main strong points and/or the points that can be improved; it need not discuss all subcriteria.

The scores and the overall performance with respect to each of the criteria together determine the final grade for the graduation project. There is no fixed scheme for this, but the following serves as a guideline for arriving at the final grade. Note that grades need not be integers, halves are also allowed. Also note that a passing grade is 6.0 or higher.

Typical scores and evaluation

5 The work is unsatisfactory on aspects concerning results (in particular on quality or quantity of results) or report (in particular on structure, or clarity and correctness), or on many aspects overall. The grade 5 is seldom given, as students with unsatisfactory results or reports should not be admitted to the defense.

6 The work scores satisfactory (and not more) on aspects concerning results and concerning the report, and typically also on independence in execution. The remaining scores are good at best.

7 There may be some scores that are only satisfactory, but most scores are good.

8 The work is very good with respect to several criteria and good with respect to the remaining ones. Typically, a solid piece of work with interesting although perhaps not very surprising results, achieved with a reasonable level of independence.

9 The work is excellent with respect to several criteria and very good with respect to the remaining criteria. The thesis presents an innovative solution to a complex problem, obtained with a high level of independence. For research-oriented projects, the work can lead to a publication in a good conference or journal; for design-oriented projects the work can be directly, or with relatively little effort, be applied in an industrial context and/or a concrete product (e.g., integrated into a large software system).

10 The work is excellent with respect to all five criteria and the work is clearly outstanding with respect to quantity or quality. For research-oriented projects, the work can lead to two publications in good conferences or one publication in a top conference or journal.

Course codes (30 EC)

2IMC00	BIS, CSE, ES, IST, DSiE	(supervisor from Computer Science)
2MMR30	DSiE, IST	(supervisor from Mathematics)
1BM91	BIS	(supervisor from Industrial Engineering & Innovation Sciences)
5T746	ES	(supervisor from Electrical Engineering)
2IW91	AT	(supervisor from Computer Science)

Course codes (45 EC): Double degree

2IMC45	BIS, CSE, ES, IST, DSiE	(supervisor from Computer Science)
2MMR45	DSiE, IST	(supervisor from Mathematics)

Declaration concerning the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct for the Masters thesis

I have read the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct¹.

I hereby declare that my Masters thesis has been carried out in accordance with the rules of the TU/e Code of Scientific Conduct.

ID-number	
Name	
Date	
Signature	

Submit the signed declaration to the student administration of your department.

¹See: <http://www.tue.nl/en/university/about-the-university/integrity/scientific-integrity/> The Netherlands Code of Conduct for Academic Practice of the VSNU can be found here also. More information about scientific integrity is published on the websites of TU/e and VSNU