ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES OF MASTER PROJECTS

QUALITATIVE SCALE

Visionary	Grade 10
f	Break through, top 2%
Innovative	Grade 9
↑	Positive surprises, top 5%
Proficient	Grade 8
↑	Nominal, all is as planned
Explicit	Grade 7
↑	Awareness
Implicit	Grade 6
	Knowledge existence

CATEGORIES

SpecializationStudent-centeredResearch and DesignWork-centeredExecutionProcess-centeredReportDocumentation-centeredPresentation and DefenseCommunication-centered

SPECIALIZATION

Main gradient:

Knowledgeable and able \rightarrow Knowledgeable, able and aware \rightarrow Can use all relevant knowledge and skills \rightarrow Creates knowledge and techniques \rightarrow Foresees the future

Aspects:

- Quality of literature review: Collection of papers Motivated collection Extracted methods and trends
 Classification Vision on history and future
- Level of specialized knowledge: Sufficient understanding Apply and implement in a relevant way Confront, justify work against state-of-the-art Produce novel trade-offs and solutions Visionary interpretation of knowledge
- **Disciplinary knowledge**: Facts, terminology, theories and basic skills Application and analysis skills Able to motivate all decisions Able to create new links and theories Motivated broad and far-reaching vision
- Ability to connect problem definition to research field or sub-questions: Able to guess a solution Able to
 consider conceptual alternatives Abstraction and synthesis skills Able to derive new abstract, conceptual
 relations Able to produce and defend visionary argumentation

RESEARCH AND DESIGN

Main gradient:

Contains useful information \rightarrow Provides answers, expectations met \rightarrow Complete, consistent and relevant \rightarrow Innovations \rightarrow Break-through

Aspects:

- Formulation of research questions: Coherent plan, goals, approaches → Explicit problem definition, research question, research approach → Motivated approach and solid answer to the research question → Methodological creativity or innovations → Societal and scientific benefits
- Quality and quantity of established results: Reconfirm established knowledge → Explicit, concrete
 deliverables → Results at the state-of-the-art level → Results advance theory and application →
 Demonstration, prototype
- **Creativity, originality, innovative value**: Self-made items → Improvements → Useful and motivated advancements → Proven novelties → Breakthroughs

• Critical attitude towards results, methods, scope and perspective of research: Basic checks → Critical considerations → Verification and validation → Criticism on own work and the state-of-the-art → Boundaries before, now and after

EXECUTION

Main gradient:

Follows common-sense, yields results \rightarrow Follows good practices and advice \rightarrow Effective, follows best practices \rightarrow Full ownership \rightarrow Sets an example

Aspects:

- Level of independence: Exact instructions needed → Guidance needed → Independent, little guidance →
 Fully independent → Autonomous and a source of inspiration
- **Commitment and dedication**: Basic work ethics → Positive attitude → Fully responsible → Full project ownership → Drive beyond own project
- Time planning: Major goals and milestones achieved → Project goals and milestones achieved in time →
 Pro-active, independent planning → Full project management → Planning and management beyond the
 project scope
- Effectiveness: Major goals achieved → Effective alignment, coordination, communication → All activities are meaningful and effective → Exceeded expectations → Impact beyond the project scope

REPORT

Main gradient:

Reports useful information \rightarrow Organizes, interprets information \rightarrow Clear, well-structured, succinct, accessible, complete \rightarrow Convinces and sets an example \rightarrow Lasting impact

Aspects:

- Readability of report: Understandable with effort → Easily readable → Pleasant, coherent, convincing story
 → Original, creative style → Submitted to an IEEE journal or conference
- **Problem formulation**: Main problems and goals can be extracted \rightarrow Explicit problem definition, research questions and goals \rightarrow Well-structured and motivated problem definitions, research questions and research approach \rightarrow Quantified problems, questions and approach \rightarrow Scientific, methodological, societal relevance
- Quality of content: Clear what has been done and why → Explicitly validated results → Results can be reproduced → Original content, tutorial value → Ground-breaking content
- Structure and organization of report: Coherent flow → Title, abstract, introduction, literature, background theory, application/problem, approach/methodology, paper body, analysis, results, validation, conclusions and reference are properly presented → Easily navigable content → Content-tailored organization → Inspirational organization

PRESENTATION AND DEFENSE

Main gradient:

Presents what is done \rightarrow Presents and defends what is done \rightarrow Interactive, confident, complete, well-structured, clear \rightarrow Creative, convincing \rightarrow Influences

Aspects:

- Coverage of research outcomes: Key elements are included → Explicit problems, research questions, and solutions → Effective coverage of all relevant aspects → Presentation adds value → Broad scientific and societal context
- **Presentation skills**: Correct formulations and pace → Eye contact, non-verbal communication → Self-confidence and enthusiasm → Unique style and perfect timing → Inspirational, convincing
- Quality of supporting material: Slides show the work → Structured, consistent, attractive slides → Presentation material is meaningful and effective → Creative style, activation and material → Inspirational material, demonstration
- Discussion skills: Passive participation → Active participation → Organized and conclusive discussion → Instructive, insightful and creative discussion → Strategizing